

## TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO) SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 29 May 2020	Surveyor: Charlotte Holloway
-------------------	------------------------------

<b>Tree details</b>	Tree/Group No:	Species: Sycamore
TPO Ref:		
Owner (if known):		
Location: 34 Holly Hill		

**a) Condition & suitability for TPO:**

Refer to Guidance Note for definitions

- |                                     |                         |  |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 5) <del>Good</del>                  | Highly suitable         |  |
| 3) <del>Fair</del>                  | Suitable                |  |
| 1) <del>Poor</del>                  | Unlikely to be suitable |  |
| 0) <del>Dead/dying/dangerous*</del> | Unsuitable              |  |

**Score & Notes**

5 – Tree in good vigor with fair form. Unable to fully inspect base as resident maintaining front garden. No visible cavities or decay from position viewed

\* relates to existing context & is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

**b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO:**

- |                       |                 |  |
|-----------------------|-----------------|--|
| 5) <del>100+</del>    | Highly suitable |  |
| 4) <del>40-100</del>  | Very suitable   |  |
| 2) <del>20-40</del>   | Suitable        |  |
| 1) <del>10-20</del>   | Just suitable   |  |
| 0) <del>&lt;10*</del> | Unsuitable      |  |

**Score & Notes**

4

\* includes trees which are existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

**c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:**

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

- |   |                     |  |
|---|---------------------|--|
| 5) <del>Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees</del> | Highly suitable     |  |
| 4) <del>Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public</del>      | Suitable            |  |
| 3) <del>Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only</del>             | Suitable            |  |
| 2) <del>Small trees, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty</del> | Barely suitable     |  |
| 1) <del>Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size</del>             | Probably unsuitable |  |

**Score & Notes**

4 – Prominent tree within road

**d) Other factors**

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| 5) <del>Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees</del>                            |  |  |
| 4) <del>Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion</del>                               |  |  |
| 3) <del>Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance</del>                        |  |  |
| 2) <del>Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual</del>                               |  |  |
| 1) <del>Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (incl. those of indifferent form)</del> |  |  |

**Score & Notes**

1

**Part 2: Expediency assessment**

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify

- |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| 5) <del>Immediate threat to tree</del>   |  |  |
| 3) <del>Foreseeable threat to tree</del> |  |  |
| 2) <del>Perceived threat to tree</del>   |  |  |
| 1) <del>Precautionary only</del>         |  |  |

**Score & Notes**

2 – Reports that new owner has requested tree surgeon to fell it

**Part 3: Decision guide**

- |       |                       |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO      |
| 1-6   | TPO indefensible      |
| 7-11  | Does not merit TPO    |
| 12-15 | TPO defensible        |
| 16+   | Definitely merits TPO |

**Add Scores for Total:**

16

**Decision:**

TPO